

Resolutions in UNHRC Middle School and UNHRC Senior School

An entertaining correlation can conclusively be seen between the middle school's UNHRC committee and the senior school's UNHRC committee. Both committees were assigned identical topics, but the differences in the actual committee and various delegates set them apart drastically.

Resolutions are thoroughly underway in both committees, with blocs forming rapidly and delegates' similar opinions becoming fairly obvious. All delegates in both committees have been given significant un-moderated caucuses and have started writing resolution papers to depict these opinions.

Tensions have risen dramatically in the senior school's UNHRC committee. As talk of the death penalty has emerged, captivating points were made by the delegates. Iran has led the charge through these tensions, fighting for stripping criminals of all human rights, which they ultimately don't deserve due to the heinous crimes they committed.

All throughout the committee, delegates are consistently debating the belief that all humans deserve human rights, while many countries argue for the counter debate of actually stripping criminals of specific human rights.

As the debate continues, strong blocs have formed. A bloc for the human rights of all, including criminals, includes the UK, the US, Germany, Russia, and France. A supposedly counter bloc advocating for only specific rights to be given to criminals includes Iraq, Iran, Bangladesh, El Salvador, Brazil, and India. A third bloc has also been formed between Canada, Australia, and the Dominican Republic who share their beliefs on the focus of regulating funding and medical equipment to combat COVID 19 in prisons.

In the middle school's UNHRC committee, all delegates are underway into writing their resolution papers. They have been working very diligently to develop these papers and various solid blocs have been swiftly formed throughout the debate. Tensions are subdued as the respect for every countries' work shines through all the delegates.

The middle school committee has spent less time debating the supposedly wider question of if these hardened criminals deserve rights or not, and have focused more on the specifics of complete human rights throughout prisons. Captivating specifics have been mentioned such as sanitation and overcrowding in prisons.

A very apparent bloc has formed between Haiti, Bangladesh, France, Canada, and Argentina who have a strong focus on the sanitation of prisons and funding. The delegation from Haiti states they believe that "human rights for criminals are not a key issue" when "Human rights in general in Haiti are not well respected" as a whole. Many other tentative blocs have been formed throughout the delegates regarding their own specific concerns.

Conclusively, resolutions are underway in the committees with apparent similarities in the middle school and senior school committees through the solid blocs forming and resolutions being written. Hopefully, we will see both committees coming to a resolution in the near future for human rights in prisons.